

Document reviewed				
Title of the draft	Guidelines for the preparation of an environmental impact statement			
being reviewed:				
Contact information				
Surname:	Playle			
Given Name:	Ben			
Government (if	Commonwealth of Australia			
applicable):				
Organization (if	Department of Foreign Affairs and Trade			
applicable):				
Country:	Australia			
E-mail:	ben.playle@dfat.gov.au			
General Comments				

Australia confirms its position, as previously stated that these Guidelines can only be approved as part of a package, together with the Draft Exploitation Regulations (**Regulations**) and other Standards and Guidelines. We note also that to the extent these Guidelines refer to other Guidelines which are yet to be developed, it is appropriate to have a further opportunity to comment on this Guideline in the light of the other Guidelines once they have been prepared. Until the Regulations are complete, we provide in-principle support of the nature of these

Australia reiterates comments made to the draft Regulations and Annex VII and considers that any proposed amendments to the Regulations should also be reflected in the Guidelines.

Paragraph 2 of the Background is missing some words:

guidelines and standards.

"[...] and (iv) prepared in <u>accordance with</u> the applicable Guidelines, Good Industry Practice, [...]"

Australia notes that from section 3 onwards, the Guidelines switch to take a high level description of information to be provided. Australia notes that this could lead to inconsistencies between interpretations of what information is to be provided under Annex IV and the Guidelines.

As such, these Guidelines should include a statement to the effect that where the Guidelines seemingly conflict with the Regulations, including its annexes, or any Standards, the Regulations or Standards will prevail.

Terms defined in the schedule to the Regulations should be consistently used and capitalised throughout the Guidelines.

The Guidelines currently do not reflect regulation 47(2), which states that an applicant or a Contractor shall have to prepare the EIS. The Guidelines should be amended to reflect that applicants, not just Contractors, may prepare an EIS as the case may be.

While we understand that not all of the potential impacts to be assessed on the Biological Environment can be feasibly replicated across all three sections of the water column, we suggest checking for toxic effects with metal and other contaminants under potential surface

impacts. This is because it is feasible that Mineral Resources may leak contaminants as they are brought to the surface.

Please clarify the purpose of the Appendices to the Guidelines. Is this meant to be a self-assessment by prospective applicants and Contractors to ensure they have provided all relevant information?

Support the document's focus on strong environmental regulation.

		Specific Comments
Page	Line	Comment
1	25	Please replace "cover the main aspects" with "be in the form", as it is not
		for the applicant to decide to cover 'main aspects' of Annex IV but to be in
		the form prescribed by Annex IV (as required by regulation 47(3)).
1	39-40	Please replace "The EIS provides documentation of the reporting process
		followed during implementation of and the results from the EIA" with "The
		EIS documents and reports on the results of the EIA".
1	42	Please delete "As such, the EIS is nested within the broader EIA process."
		This statement appears inconsistent with regulation 47, which states that
		the EIS reports the outcomes of the EIA and the EIS is based on the results
		of the EIA. Therefore, the EIS must follow after the EIA.
1	44	Please amend to insert the tracked change "to <u>be</u> stand-alone".
2	103	Seek clarification on the term 'project-specific', including what is expected
		of the Contractor when undertaking a mining activity.
3	Right	The Guideline sections listed do not correspond with the numbering system
	column,	used for the Guidelines. Please amend or clarify.
	table	
3	119	Please delete 'Recommended'.
3	121	Please expand 'EMMP' on first use.
4	167	Please replace "provide justification for project execution" with "explain
		why the project is needed" for consistency with Annex IV. This is because
		providing justification for project execution means that an explanation is to
		be provided on how the project is to be conducted. However, that is not
		the information sought under Annex IV.
4	167	Please insert "to mankind" after "benefits", for consistency with Annex IV
		and because otherwise the applicant may provide an explanation of their
		benefits.
4	176	We query whether "EIA" should be replaced with "EIS", as it would be
		unusual to report on work conducted before the environmental impact
		assessment.
5	181	Please amend "Component testing conducted (including a description of
		activities), if applicable."
5	205	Please replace "Layout" with "Structure" for consistency with Annex IV.
5	215,	Please replace "EIA" with "EIS" for consistency with Annex IV.
	219	
5	224	Seek clarification on the term 'Mining Areas', including what is expected of
		the Contractor when undertaking a mining activity.
6	242-	Please capitalise 'sustainable development goals'.
	243	

6	259	Please replace "Project" with "Proposed Development"
6 6-7	259 266- 293	Please replace "Project" with "Proposed Development" Please amend as follows for increased consistency with Annex IV: • A statement of the objectives sought by the project, including the underlying purpose and need of the proposed action; • The precise location and boundaries of the proposed project (including the Mining Area(s) and the Contract Area). Including the pact zones and preservation zones, preferably on a detailed bathymetric map, along with the general location of the project on a regional map; • Associated activities and infrastructure required that is outside the direct mining site; • The type, size, shape, tonnage, and grade of the mineral deposit; • The type, size, shape, tonnage, and grade of the mineral deposit; • Volumes of material to be recovered, processed, and deposited and/or discharged into the water column or back to the seabed; • Depth Details of the technologies to be employed that address (amongst other things), the Mining Workplan, timelines, the depth of penetration into the seabed-and-the proposed mineral collection technique; • The likely extent of any secondary impacts such as sediment plumes; • Method(s) for transporting recovered minerals to the surface; • Proposed on-site processing (including on the sea floor) and shipboard method(s) for separation of the mineral resource, dewatering and seafloor sediment; • Method(s) for trans-shipment or transfers at sea of mineral-bearing ore; • Proposed waste management, transportation, and disposal activities for materials or effluents to be discharged into the marine environment, and management and transportation of shipboard wastes to be transported to shore- based disposal facilities; • Handling and management of hazardous materials, including the nature of the material, its transportation, storage and disposal; • Construction and operation standards for equipment to be used in mining activities, including workforce description, the design codes and procedures for preserving the health and safety of the personnel invo
		in the exploitation activities;
		 Commissioning and decommissioning procedures; and Proposed details of any practicable restoration of the project area; and A detailed development timetable for the entire life cycle of the project / development
6	269	Seek clarification on the term 'Mining Area(s'), including what is expected of the Contractor when undertaking a mining activity.
7	318	Please replace "In the final section" with "In the section on alternatives".
		·
8	347	Please replace "will need" with "should".
8	358	Please insert "where relevant" after "site-specific ERAs".

8, 9, 13	361,	Please correct section numbering, as the referenced section does not
0, 9, 13	407,	correspond with the headings or numbering used in these Guidelines.
	-	correspond with the headings of humbering used in these duidelines.
	568 <i>,</i> 598	
8	365	Please amend as indicated: "Each section The sections on the
		physiochemical and biological environment will include the following
		common descriptions and discussions:"
9	372	After "database", please insert "; and methods used for completing the
		studies base don Best Available Techniques".
9	373	Please amend text in brackets: "(physiochemical or, biological, or
		socioeconomic))."
9	377	Before "Information specific to []", please insert "The section on the
		socioeconomic environment should also include key messages and a
		summary of the environment, like the other sections."
9	389	Please insert "and seasonal variability" after "setting".
11	494	Seek clarification on the term 'Mining Areas', including what is expected of
		the Contractor when undertaking a mining activity
12	After	Please insert another bullet point:
	534	 the methods used to determine impacts (including assumptions pf
		any impact modelling undertaken);
12	551-	Please delete, as these 'topics' are not common across or relevant to all
	557	three types of environments.
12	558	Please amend as indicated: "A summary of the residual effects or
		sociocultural environment (e.g., in a tabular format)."
14	617	The reference to 'final ERA' implies that more than one ERA must take
		place. Please clarify a minimum or range of ERA to be conducted.
15	660	Please replace "aspects" with "impacts and mitigation efforts".
15	After	Please insert two further bullet points:
	667	 sites of an archaeological or historical nature;
		socioeconomic and sociocultural issues;
15	682	Please expand "ERP" on first use.
15	683	Please specify where in the Exploitation Regulations the quoted text can be found.
15	690	Please amend as indicated: "While The EIS should can refer users to the
		ERP, the EIS must also and include a discussion []". This is consistent with
		Annex IV.
15	701	Please name or provide other reference method to the relevant Standard &
		Guidelines.
15	701-	Please amend as indicated: "-The EIS should provide sufficient information
	703	to enable the Authority to anticipate possible environmental management,
		monitoring and reporting requirements for an environmental approval. The
		EIS should should refer users to the EMMP and need include only a brief
		discussion highlighting key issues that will be addressed in detail in the
		EMMP, including:"
16	710	Please delete "Corrective procedures", as this is not reflected in Annex IV.

16	718	Noting the suggested change detailed above, please delete "Nevertheless, the EIS is where the impacts of the proposed Project are detailed, and sufficient information needs to be provided to enable the Authority to anticipate possible environmental management, monitoring and reporting requirements for an environmental approval."
17	755	After "logging," please insert "summaries of the concerns raised".
17	759-	Please move this text to the section on "Policy, legal and administrative
	762	context".
18	805	Australia queries whether this should refer to EIA or EIS.
19	Third	Please replace "IUNC" with "IUCN".
	row	
	down,	
	first	
	column	
	from	
	the left	