COMMENTS

| | | Document reviewed |
|--------------------|--------------|---|
| Title of the draft | | Draft standard and guidelines on the development and application of |
| being reviewed: | | Environmental Management Systems |
| | | developed by the Legal and Technical Commission |
| | | Contact information |
| Surname: | | Vandenborre |
| Given Name: | | Steven |
| Government (if | | Belgium |
| applicable): | | |
| Organization (if | | |
| applicable): | | |
| Country: | | Belgium |
| E-mail: | | steven.vandenborre@health.fgov.be |
| | | General Comments |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | Canadiia Camananta |
| Page | Line | Specific Comments Comment |
| Page 2 | 4 | An important aspect of having an Environmental Management System is |
| 4 | 7 | having a tool for communicating/reporting to authorities and stakeholders. |
| | | This should be reflected in the definition. |
| 2 | 28 | Are these 4 steps the plan-do-check-act (PDCA) approach? How has this |
| 2 | 20 | |
| | 1 | coloction of the four key stans of the care process! been made? Can this be |
| | | selection of 'the four key steps of the core process' been made? Can this be |
| <u> </u> | 21 | explained a bit more? |
| 2 | 31 | explained a bit more? Where does the adoption of objectives/targets fit? We suppose this is a |
| | | explained a bit more? Where does the adoption of objectives/targets fit? We suppose this is a crucial part of the EMS. |
| 2 | 31 98-101 | explained a bit more? Where does the adoption of objectives/targets fit? We suppose this is a crucial part of the EMS. Are 'leadership' and 'resources' the only framing requirements? This |
| | | explained a bit more? Where does the adoption of objectives/targets fit? We suppose this is a crucial part of the EMS. Are 'leadership' and 'resources' the only framing requirements? This question, because 'framing requirements' is followed by only these two |
| 4 | 98-101 | explained a bit more? Where does the adoption of objectives/targets fit? We suppose this is a crucial part of the EMS. Are 'leadership' and 'resources' the only framing requirements? This question, because 'framing requirements' is followed by only these two components of the EMS, as outlined below in the text. |
| | | explained a bit more? Where does the adoption of objectives/targets fit? We suppose this is a crucial part of the EMS. Are 'leadership' and 'resources' the only framing requirements? This question, because 'framing requirements' is followed by only these two components of the EMS, as outlined below in the text. Later on in this document, when the subitems of the 'Audits and |
| 4 | 98-101 | explained a bit more? Where does the adoption of objectives/targets fit? We suppose this is a crucial part of the EMS. Are 'leadership' and 'resources' the only framing requirements? This question, because 'framing requirements' is followed by only these two components of the EMS, as outlined below in the text. Later on in this document, when the subitems of the 'Audits and management review' are fleshed out, 'external audits' are evoked. |
| 4 | 98-101 | explained a bit more? Where does the adoption of objectives/targets fit? We suppose this is a crucial part of the EMS. Are 'leadership' and 'resources' the only framing requirements? This question, because 'framing requirements' is followed by only these two components of the EMS, as outlined below in the text. Later on in this document, when the subitems of the 'Audits and |

| 4 | 117 | Not only the senior management, the management as a whole plays an important role. Therefore: suggestion to delete 'senior'. | | |
|---------|--|--|--|--|
| 5 | 141- | We suggest to use the SMART model. | | |
| 5 | | we suggest to use the SiviAki model. | | |
| | 146 | | | |
| 5 | 148 | The long term should go beyond 3-5 years. We know this period has only | | |
| | | been mentioned as an example, but we want to make sure that the order | | |
| | | of magnitude is not misunderstood. Therefore, we suggest to bring that | | |
| | | 'example period' on 10 years. | | |
| 5 | 153 | We suggest to delete 'ideally': a strategy without an implementation plan is | | |
| | | worthless. | | |
| 6 | 162 | In line with our former comments on the (non-exclusive) role of the 'senior | | |
| | | management, we propose to delete 'The senior management of the' and | | |
| | | thus to start the sentence with 'The Contractor' | | |
| 7 | 216 | Why has the ALARP principle been chosen? Can this be explained a bit | | |
| | | more? | | |
| 7 | 219- | This doesn't seem to be a well-defined management approach (cf. PDCA), | | |
| | 225 | more a list of important activities (exhaustive?). We wonder whether a | | |
| | | better defined approach exists for this type of process. | | |
| 7 | 237 | What about personal protective equipment, as a last step in the hierarchy | | |
| | | of controls? We think this PPE should close the list. | | |
| 8 | 263- | The verification of the implementation should be put in para 30, as it is an | | |
| | 264 | essential part of the response to the nonconformity, PDCA alike. | | |
| 8 | 274 | For the sake of clarity, we suggest to remind contractors, in this part of the | | |
| | | text, of their responsibility/liability for the actions committed by their | | |
| | | subcontractors. | | |
| 10 | 337 | We suggest to add 'and the Sponsoring State' after 'ISA'. The evaluation of | | |
| | | the performance is essential for the Sponsoring State to control the | | |
| | | activities of the Contractor and take measures, as needed. | | |
| Additio | Additional rows can be added to this table by selecting "Table" followed by "insert" and "rows | | | |
| below" | | | | |
| | | | | |

Comments should be sent by e-mail to ola@isa.org.jm