TEMPLATE FOR COMMENTS

Title of		Document reviewed			
i itie of	the draft	Draft standard and guidelines on the form and calculation of an			
being re	eviewed:	Environmental Performance Guarantee developed by the Legal and			
		Technical Commission			
		Contact information			
Surname:		McLachlan			
Given Name:		Corey			
Government (if					
applicable):					
Organization (if		TOML			
applicable):					
Country:		Tonga			
E-mail:		corey@deep.green			
		General Comments			
We recommend that the Environmental Performance Guarantee (EPG) Standard e					
•		ation of obligations, specifically in relation to Contractor insurance and the			
Environ	mental Co	mpensation Fund.			
		hat Regulation 26(2) which deals with likely costs and the EPG Standard should			
be align					
	We believe that Contractors when required to provide an EPG should contribute to a guarantee				
in line with the size and impact of their operations.					
in line w	with the siz	e and impact of their operations.			
For exa	mple, a Co	ntractor's contribution to an EPG would start small reflecting the small area			
For exai impacte	mple, a Co ed and cou	ntractor's contribution to an EPG would start small reflecting the small area Id grow over time as the operation progress and the mining area increases			
For exai impacte	mple, a Co ed and cou	ntractor's contribution to an EPG would start small reflecting the small area			
For exai impacte	mple, a Co ed and cou	ntractor's contribution to an EPG would start small reflecting the small area Id grow over time as the operation progress and the mining area increases er impacted area.			
For exai impacte reflectir	mple, a Co ed and cou ng the larg	ntractor's contribution to an EPG would start small reflecting the small area Id grow over time as the operation progress and the mining area increases er impacted area. Specific Comments			
For exai impacte reflectir	mple, a Co ed and cou ng the larg Line	ntractor's contribution to an EPG would start small reflecting the small area Id grow over time as the operation progress and the mining area increases er impacted area. Specific Comments Comment			
For exai impacte reflectir	mple, a Co ed and cou ng the larg Line 72	ntractor's contribution to an EPG would start small reflecting the small area ld grow over time as the operation progress and the mining area increases er impacted area. Specific Comments Comment replace "greatest reasonably credible costs" with "reasonable costs"			
For exai impacte reflectir	mple, a Co ed and cou ng the larg Line 72 96	ntractor's contribution to an EPG would start small reflecting the small area ld grow over time as the operation progress and the mining area increases er impacted area. Specific Comments Comment replace "greatest reasonably credible costs" with "reasonable costs" delete the word "highest"			
For exai impacte reflectir	mple, a Co ed and cou ng the larg Line 72	ntractor's contribution to an EPG would start small reflecting the small area Id grow over time as the operation progress and the mining area increases er impacted area. Specific Comments Comment replace "greatest reasonably credible costs" with "reasonable costs" delete the word "highest" replace "greatest reasonably credible third-party costs" with "reasonable			
For exai impacte reflectir	mple, a Co ed and cou ng the larg Line 72 96 115	ntractor's contribution to an EPG would start small reflecting the small area ld grow over time as the operation progress and the mining area increases er impacted area. Specific Comments Comment replace "greatest reasonably credible costs" with "reasonable costs" delete the word "highest" replace "greatest reasonably credible third-party costs" with "reasonable third-party costs"			
For exai impacte reflectir	mple, a Co ed and cou ng the larg Line 72 96 115 130	ntractor's contribution to an EPG would start small reflecting the small area ld grow over time as the operation progress and the mining area increases er impacted area. Specific Comments Comment replace "greatest reasonably credible costs" with "reasonable costs" delete the word "highest" replace "greatest reasonably credible third-party costs" with "reasonable third-party costs" replace "greatest reasonably credible costs" with "reasonable costs"			
For exai impacte reflectir	mple, a Co ed and cou ng the larg Line 72 96 115 130 132	ntractor's contribution to an EPG would start small reflecting the small area ld grow over time as the operation progress and the mining area increases er impacted area. <u>Specific Comments</u> <u>Comment</u> replace "greatest reasonably credible costs" with "reasonable costs" delete the word "highest" replace "greatest reasonably credible third-party costs" with "reasonable third-party costs" replace "greatest reasonably credible costs" with "reasonable costs" replace "greatest reasonably credible costs" with "reasonable costs"			
For exai impacte reflectir	mple, a Co ed and cou ng the larg Line 72 96 115 130 132 134	ntractor's contribution to an EPG would start small reflecting the small area ld grow over time as the operation progress and the mining area increases er impacted area.			
For exai impacte reflectir	mple, a Co ed and cou ng the larg Line 72 96 115 130 132 134 136	ntractor's contribution to an EPG would start small reflecting the small area ld grow over time as the operation progress and the mining area increases er impacted area.			
For exai impacte reflectir	mple, a Co ed and cou ng the larg Line 72 96 115 130 132 134 136 137	ntractor's contribution to an EPG would start small reflecting the small area ld grow over time as the operation progress and the mining area increases er impacted area. Specific Comments Comment replace "greatest reasonably credible costs" with "reasonable costs" delete the word "highest" replace "greatest reasonably credible third-party costs" with "reasonable third-party costs" replace "greatest reasonably credible costs" with "reasonable costs" delete "on a "worst case scenario" basis"			
For exai impacte	mple, a Co ed and cou ng the larg Line 72 96 115 130 132 134 136 137 143	ntractor's contribution to an EPG would start small reflecting the small area ld grow over time as the operation progress and the mining area increases er impacted area. Specific Comments Comment replace "greatest reasonably credible costs" with "reasonable costs" delete the word "highest" replace "greatest reasonably credible third-party costs" with "reasonable third-party costs" replace "greatest reasonably credible costs" with "reasonable costs"			
For exai impacte reflectir	mple, a Co ed and cou ng the larg Line 72 96 115 130 132 134 136 137	ntractor's contribution to an EPG would start small reflecting the small area ld grow over time as the operation progress and the mining area increases er impacted area. Specific Comments Comment replace "greatest reasonably credible costs" with "reasonable costs" delete the word "highest" replace "greatest reasonably credible third-party costs" with "reasonable third-party costs" replace "greatest reasonably credible costs" with "reasonable costs" delete "on a "worst case scenario" basis"			
For exai impacte reflectir	mple, a Co ed and cou ng the larg Line 72 96 115 130 132 134 136 137 143	ntractor's contribution to an EPG would start small reflecting the small area ld grow over time as the operation progress and the mining area increases er impacted area. Specific Comments Comment replace "greatest reasonably credible costs" with "reasonable costs" delete the word "highest" replace "greatest reasonably credible third-party costs" with "reasonable third-party costs" replace "greatest reasonably credible costs" with "reasonable costs"			

Additional rows can be added to this table by selecting "Table" followed by "insert" and "rows				
below"				

Comments should be sent by e-mail to <u>ola@isa.org.jm</u>