

DOSI comments on the Discussion Paper on the Development and Drafting of Regulations on Exploitation for Mineral Resources in the Area (Environmental Matters)

DOSI workshop 1-3 February 2017









Deep Ocean Stewardship Initiative

Mission Statement

DOSI seeks to integrate science, technology, policy, law and economics to advise on ecosystem-based management of resource use in the deep ocean and strategies to maintain the integrity of deep-ocean ecosystems within and beyond national jurisdiction.

Working groups

- Minerals V. Tunnicliffe, R. Boschen
- Oil & Gas E. Cordes, D. Jones
- Fisheries M. Gianni, L. Watling, C. Nouvian
- Deep-sea Genetic Resources K. Gjerde, H. Harden-Davies, E. Escobar
- Deep-sea Tailing Disposal Ramirez-Llodra
- Climate Change N. Le Bris, L. Levin
- New Technologies D. Lindsay
- Capacity Development B. Currie, K. Sink, M. Baker, C. Neumann
- Policy S. Garrett
- Knowledge Gaps and Global Ocean Assessment T. Koslow, M. Baker
- Communication M. Baker, L. Levin

DOSI at ISA: Observer Status at ISA since 2016



DOSI KO meeting, Mexico City, April 2013



DOSI Town-hall meeting, Aveiro, Aug. 2015

DOSI workshop goals

Goal & participants

- Evaluate and review the ISA discussion paper (EREGs)
- Predominantly natural sciences perspective
- 20 experts, different backgrounds, 9 countries

Approach

- Preliminary review of >40 documents
 - Griffith workshop report (May 2016)
 - > MIDAS, JPI-O, NZ Shelf Act, SPC, ISA studies, etc.
 - Stakeholder comments to Draft Exploitation Regulations
 - Guiding concepts
 - Lessons learned from APEI process
 - Discussion Paper on EREGs
- 3-day workshop in Scripps
 - Plenary sessions: Document reviews; VMEs; Use of Terms and Scope in Draft EREGs.;
 - Break out groups: Aims of EREGs; Env. Baseline; Env. Goals/Objectives; EIA; Guiding principles; Serious Harm
 - ➤ Report on DOSI's discussions on the review of the Draft EREGs.



First response to Draft EREGs (plenary)

Overall

- Overall impression and direction positive. It provides a good starting point for discussions/inputs on difficult issues.
- Provides a comprehensive outline for the contract application process, from submission to decision.

Further work needed

- Overall Environmental Goals and Objectives need to be set.
- Align with Strategic Environmental Assessment (SEA) and Management Plans (SEMP)
- How to operationalise terms (e.g. serious harm, significant adverse change) and set thresholds.
- Clarity of responsibilities is required DOSI flowchart.
- Address roles and responsibilities of Sponsoring States.
- Cover all deposit types additional deposit-specific guidelines.
- Need for open reporting of all environmental data and greater standardisation between contractors.
- Greater emphasis on the Common Heritage of Mankind and concept of "the benefit of mankind".
- Better approaches for deep-ocean monitoring.

Vulnerable Marine Ecosystems (plenary)

Overall

- How is the VME concept applied in terms of mining?
- The FAO approach may be applicable, but: destructive effects of fishing may differ from destructive effects of mining, both in space (e.g. larger for vent mining) and time (e.g. longer for nodules).

Outcome

• Need to build on ongoing discussions of VMEs (e.g. SEMPIA workshops).





Definitions (plenary)

Overall

- Internationally accepted definitions are essential.
- Most definitions need further work.

Outcome

- Suggested input to available definitions.
- Several key terms selected for priority attention by us:
 - Acceptable
 - Benthic Plume
 - Environmental Baseline
 - Environmental Effect/Impact
 - Environnemental Target
 - Impact Reference Zones

Environmental Baseline (breakout)

Overall

Suggestions and recommendations on some key points.

Outcomes included (amongst others):

- Include more detailed requirements of Sponsoring States and separate guidance document on roles and responsibilities.
- EREGs should cover all deposit types, with deposit-specific guidance documents.
- Data-management system to ensure open reporting of all environmental data.
- Workshops on data standardisation and sampling methodology, including "at sea" training.
- Best practice updates available to contractors on the ISA website.



Kristoff. Nat. Geo.



Menot, Ifremer



Mills et al., 2007. WHOI

Overall

- EGOs standard practice in SEAs.
- Goals essential also to operationalise certain terms (e.g. serious harm, significant adverse change; effective protection for the marine environ.) and set measurable targets allowing for monitoring.
- Goals are referred to in the Discussion Paper, but no goals or objectives are stated.

Outcome

Suggestion of goals and objectives, but need further dedicated work:

- **Goal 1.** To preserve CHM for future generations.
- Goal 2. Development of DSM is done in the context of sustainable development.
- **Goal 3.** Protect and preserve the marine environment by the application of precautionary approach.
- **Goal 4.** Sustain marine benthic and pelagic ecosystem integrity.
- **Goal 5.** Generate and share best scientific information available for decisions-making and improve techniques for dealing with risk and uncertainty.
- **Goal 6.** Ensure ecosystem integrity on regional scales by integrating strategic and contractor environmental management plans.

Environmental Impact Assessment (breakout)

Overall

Structure and elements of EIA, including scoping report, discussed.

Outcome

Key points discussed and proposed (amongst others):

- The Scoping Report should be mandatory;
- Include full expert review at the scoping stage;
- Need to define thresholds for impacts;
- Identification of Environmental Impact Area: plumes example. But other processes important (e.g connectivity and source populations, nursery areas, migration routes, noise, trophic interactions);
- Ecosystem function should be included in the environmental baseline and EIA.



Serious Harm (breakout)

Overall

- Many aspects of EREGs linked to operational definition of serious harm.
- Need further dedicated discussion on definition, thresholds and indicators.

Outcomes

Many issues raised including:

- Need common definition of serious harm;
- Operationalisation of term
- Spatio-temporal scales
- Evaluated against environmental objectives and targets
- Deep-ocean health index



Next steps for DOSI-Minerals group

- DOSI workshop report available online: <u>http://dosi-project.org/working-groups/minerals</u>
- DOSI letter with main outcomes of workshop sent to ISA and online
- Present outcomes to Berlin workshop (Ramirez-Llodra, Billett, Levin, Jaeckel, Gjerde, Adron, Gianni)
- Contribute science-based recommendations for definitions of terms
- Develop a position paper on the ecological and cultural value of active vents (van Dover/GOBI)
- DOSI stands ready to help collect and compile deep-sea community input on Draft Env. Reg. in public consultation.
- DOSI representation and side event at the ISA 23rd Annual Session, Jamaica, August 2017.



EREGS participants:

Ardron, Jeff	Commonwealth Secretariat, UK	Lo lonnifor	Scripps Institution of Oceanography,
Baker, Maria	University of Southampton, UK	Le, Jennifer	USA
Billett, David	Deep Seas Environmental Solutions	Levin, Lisa	Scripps Institution of Oceanography,
	Ltd, UK		USA
Boschen, Rachel	University of Victoria, Canada	Majiedt, Prideel	South African National Biodiversity
Cobley, Amber	University of Southampton, UK		Institute, SA
Escobar, Elva	Universidad Nacional Autónoma de	Metaxas, Anna	Dalhousie University, Canada
	México, Mexico	Ramirez-Llodra,	Norwegian Institute for Water
Gebruk, Andrey	P. P. Shirshov Institute of	Eva	Research, Norway
	Oceanology, Russia	Smith, Craig	University of Hawai'i, USA
Gianni, Matt	Deep Sea Conservation Coalition,	Swaddling, Aliso	n University of Sydney, Australia
	The Netherlands	Tunnicliffe, Verena	University of Victoria, Canada
Gjerde, Kristina	International Union for		
	Conservation of Nature, USA	Van Dover, Cindy	Duke University, USA
Jaeckel, Aline	Macquarie Law School, Australia		