

Dispersal Near Topography

(The Physical Environment of Polymetallic Sulphides Deposits, The Potential Impact of Exploration and Mining on This Environment, And Data Required to Establish Environmental Baselines in Exploration Areas).

Andreas M. Thurnherr ant@ldeo.columbia.edu

LAMONT-DOHERTY EARTH OBSERVATORY THE EARTH INSTITUTE AT COLUMBIA UNIVERSITY

Thurnherr: Dispersal Near Topography - p.1/19

Polymetallic Sulphides Deposits

- polymetallic sulphides are deposited on the sea floor by high-temperature hydrothermal circulation;
- currently known vent fields (from www.interridge.org):



Setting of Active Vent Fields

Mid-Ocean Ridge Crest:

- **predominantly deep (below** 1000 m);
- complex topography;

Backarc Basin Rift Zones:

- \blacksquare always(?) deep (below $1000 \,\mathrm{m}$);
- complex topography;

Island-Arc Volcano:

- any depth possible;
- complex topography;
- \Rightarrow topography plays a dominant role.



- 1. Physical environment
- 2. Dispersal near complex topography
- 3. Examples:
 - rift-valley of slow-spreading ridge
 - ridge flank
 - crest-site of fast-spreading ridge
 - back-arc basins
- 4. Conclusions

Physical Environment

- typical deep-ocean environment: dark, cold, high pressure, instantaneous/mean flow velocities of order $\text{cm} \cdot \text{s}^{-1}/\text{mm} \cdot \text{s}^{-1}$;
- mining operations are not expected to significantly affect physical environment on scales beyond physical scales of mining, ...
- . . . except if blasting techniques are used (underwater explosions are not covered in this presentation);
- indirect large-scale effects are possible if mining introduces density anomalies at depth (e.g. heating, freshwater input, &c);
- dispersal of dissolved and suspended mining products by background flow field implies that physical environment on scales larger than mining scales is important, however.





- topography influences deep ocean environment in many ways;
- every setting in complex topography is different ...
- but the important processes are similar: diapycnal mixing, blocking and hydraulics, background-flow amplification, wave trapping and flow rectification, vorticity effects.

W Hydrothermal Plumes (Near Field)

- ▶ high source temperatures (300°-400°C); large vertical velocities ($\approx 1 \,\mathrm{m \cdot s^{-1}}$);
- entrainment \Rightarrow sulphides and oxides precipitate;
- plumes rise to equilibrium height (50–400 m);



- equation of state for brines is highly non-linear ⇒ buoyancy reversal and brine pools are possible, but there are few observations;
- double-diffusive effects make brine pools extremely stable \Rightarrow potentially important for sulphides deposits.

Hydrothermal Plume Fluxes



- observations: 5 m above high-temperature source, temperature anomalies $<1^{\circ}\text{C}$; entrainment velocities \ll tidal velocities;
- the smallness of the physical effects is due to the small source volume fluxes ($<0.1 \text{ m}^3 \cdot \text{s}^{-1}$ per "virtual source");
- total dilution $\approx 10^4 \Rightarrow$ entrainment volume flux is small, too.

W Hydrothermal Plumes (Far Field)

Detached eddy

Anticyclone of plume fluid

Rift valley

- theory suggests existence of coherent vortices around hydrothermal plumes (Speer, GRL, 1989):
 - velocities of several $cm \cdot s^{-1}$;
 - cyclonic around buoyant plumes;
 - anticyclonic around equilibrium plumes;



- on even larger scales: integrated effects of hydrothermal plumes have been hypothesized to drive basin-scale circulation (Stommel, EPSL, 1982); inferred velocities are only of order mm·s⁻¹, however;
- ⇒ active hydrothermal circulation is largely insignificant in context of dispersal of mining products.



- In order to assess dispersal, relevant time and space scales must be known; upper limits determined by: dissolved substances: concentration limits, reactivity; suspended substances: settling velocities;
- near topography, tides (in particular M₂) and/or near-inertial oscillations are often dominant \Rightarrow on time-scales of minutes to hours, dispersal is often omnidirectional; (typical tidal dispersal distances are several 100 m);
- the temporally & spatially varying flow field in the ocean causes diffusive dispersal along isopycnal surfaces on time scales longer than *Lagrangian integral time scale*, which is typically of order 10 days in the deep ocean.

Eulerian vs. Lagrangian Views

- **Eulerian:** fixed in space, e.g. moored current meters;
- Lagrangian: flow-following, e.g. floats, dye;
- dispersal is inherently Lagrangian, but Eulerian measurements are easier to carry out;
- in the spatially variable oceanic flow field the two views are not the same, as illustrated for idealized surface waves:



Advection vs. Eddy Diffusion

- dispersal is combination of two effects:
 advection by low-frequency (mean) flow: dispersal ∝ time;
 eddy diffusion: dispersal ∝ √time;
- in typical deep-ocean settings, dispersal is often diffusion dominated (⇔ in dispersal studies diffusion is often ignored):



W Hydrothermal Plume Dispersal



- hydrothermal particle plumes as easily observable natural Lagrangian tracer;
- *Rainbow* particle plume disperses unidirectionally along MAR rift valley \Rightarrow dispersal is advection dominated.

Rift-Valley Current-Meter Data



- plume-dispersal observations are entirely qualitative;
- I-year-long current-meter data indicate persistent, strong, unidirectional velocities of $\approx 5 \,\mathrm{cm} \cdot \mathrm{s}^{-1}$ near vent field;
- using a "typical" deep-ocean eddy diffusivity of 10³ m²·s⁻¹, dispersal is advection dominated on all time scales (time of equal importance of advection and diffusion < Lagrangian integral time scale).</p>

Ridge-Flank Dispersal



- 2-year dispersal on ridge flank is more typical (figure courtesy J. Ledwell):
 - C/M data indicate $0.5 \pm 1.1 \,\mathrm{cm} \cdot \mathrm{s}^{-1}$ to the north;
 - center of tracer patch implies $0.4 \,\mathrm{cm} \cdot \mathrm{s}^{-1}$ SW-ward;
 - tracer spreading is strong enough to overcome mean flow;
- \Rightarrow both advection and eddy diffusion are important for dispersal, which cannot be assessed from C/M data alone!

Ridge-Crest C/M Data

- 5-month-long C/M records from 2 levels above the EPR crest near $9^{\circ}N$ indicate mean velocities of $1 \pm 1 \,\mathrm{cm} \cdot \mathrm{s}^{-1}$ to the E and to the SSW;
- ⇒ dispersal *might* be advection dominated, but there is a different problem:



- integration of Eulerian measurements to yield quasi-Lagrangian trajectories ignores any horizontal variability;
- flow $200 \,\mathrm{m}$ above crest is significantly different from flow near topography \Rightarrow it appears unlikely that flow over flanks (i.e. even higher above sea bed) is similar;
- \Rightarrow dispersal inferences from these data are unlikely to be valid.

Backarc-Basin Float Data

- off-the-shelf floats provide a cost-effective way for assessing dispersal (in the example, in the Lau Backarc Basin) using Lagrangian techniques;
- these particular floats drift at 1700 m and return to the surface every 4 weeks;



- ⇒ position and auxiliary data are available to the user in near-real time (⇔ current meters have to be recovered in order to gain access to the data);
- after 4 1-month cycles the floats suggest consistently northward flow of $1-2 \text{ cm} \cdot \text{s}^{-1}$ in the eastern Lau Basin \Rightarrow on longer time scales dispersal there is most likely dominated by advection.

Shikoku Basin LADCP Data

- during a recent (July 2004) hydrographic cruise, near-bottom velocities exceeding 15 cm·s⁻¹ were observed on a steep slope in the Shikoku Basin;
- the other near-bottom velocities of $2-3 \,\mathrm{cm} \cdot \mathrm{s}^{-1}$ are likely of tidal origin;



⇒ unless the flow field is very well sampled (perhaps only possible with dye-release experiments) narrow, swift boundary currents can easily be missed.



- the physical environment near polymetallic sulphides deposits is highly complex, primarily because of topographic effects;
- while being spectacular, the effects of high-temperature hydrothermal circulation are unlikely to be significant for dispersal of mining products (mining in active hydrothermal vent fields may be inadvisable for other reasons);
- in many settings, both advection and eddy diffusion are important for dispersal on time scales of months to years;
- because of the spatial variability of the oceanic velocity field, it is not generally useful to assess dispersal with data from current-meter records alone (unless very large arrays are used);
- Lagrangian techniques, in particular floats, are a readily available and cost-effective method for assessing dispersal near topography.