A risk-based approach to regulating extraction activities

Dr Becky Hitchin Joint Nature Conservation Committee, UK

# Agenda

- What is risk-based regulation?
- UK regulatory landscape
- Challenges and solutions in understanding risk related to prioritised guidelines and standards
- Conclusions





# What is risk-based regulation?

Regulation that focusses on allocating resources in proportion to the risks in question to address the most important environmental issues and is adaptive to changing circumstances

- Evidence-based
- Data-driven and responsive
- Auditable
- Clearly communicable
- Flexible
- Forward-thinking



## What are the risks?





**®JNCC** 



# **UK experience**

Minimising risk through guidelines and standards





## **Guidelines: Transparency / participation**

Guidelines for access to environmental data and information

Guidelines for procedures for stakeholder participation in activities in the Area



## Guidelines: Transparency / participation – comparative assessment

Stakeholder-based process that agrees best options for decommissioning infrastructure





## Guidelines: Transparency / participation – comparative assessment





# Transparency / participation – lessons learned

#### Deep sea mining use

- Transparency and participation should be at the heart of assessment processes
- Iterative stakeholder consultation allows refining of options and full use of available expertise, as well as managing stakeholder expectations as to transparency
- Important to include feedback loops in guidelines, so that stakeholders can see that their comments are being taken into account
- Process should not be onerous on stakeholder time or resources



## **Guidelines: Adaptive management**

Guidelines on the use of adaptive management techniques

Guidelines for mapping seabed habitats and resources in the Area





# Guidelines: Adaptive management – SDM policy in Scotland

#### Survey Deploy Monitor -

Risk-based approach for taking forward wave and tidal energy proposals, designed to enable novel technologies to be deployed in a manner that will simultaneously reduce scientific uncertainty over time whilst enabling a level of activity that is proportionate to the risks

Used for offshore wave and tidal devices, but applications to other industries



# Guidelines: Adaptive management – SDM policy in Scotland



## **Guidelines: Adaptive management**

#### Deep sea mining use

- Adaptive management allows for testing of EIA assumptions and validation of EIA conclusions
  - in the UK, all offshore windfarms have to provide noise results for their first 4 piles before proceeding to further noisy activities
  - how to apply this feedback process to a front-loaded capital intensive industry?
- Allows for the use of novel technologies etc by providing a route for risk reduction during operations or between contracts
  - but requires management responsiveness to create change



Guidelines for environmental impact assessment and preparation of an environmental impact statement

Guidelines for the development and application of environmental management systems

**Guidelines for the preparation of scoping reports** 





## Adequacy of information provided – can a science-based opinion be concluded on risk and impact?

The basics

- Maps
- Numbers don't add up
- References missed out



Environmental Statement Chapter 1 Introduction More advanced

- Potentially important impacts screened out and then have to be reinserted
- Detail provided on receptors that aren't affected by the operations
- Are conclusions using best available evidence?
- Use of old evidence
- Use of non-site specific evidence
- Use of inappropriate impact matrices

#### **Guideline requirements**

- Early consultation (around scoping) on what the EIA needs to focus on
- Early consultation to agree evidence sources
- High quality QA before submission



#### Amount of information provided

EIA reports can overwhelm with information

- Dogger Bank windfarm EIA consisted of 17 lever arch files
- Hornsea 3 windfarm had 14685 pages accepted into the examination *post* EIA submission

#### **Guideline requirements**

- Documents focussed on consenting issues / large scale impacts
- Refer to information in other published documents
- Expectations of time needed to review documentation compared to contracting timetable

**INCC** 

#### **Communicating risk**

Uncertainty in evidence and uncertainty in conclusion should be clearly stated



Score	Proxy	Empirical basis
4	An exact measure of the desired quantity	Controlled experiments and large sample direct measurements
3	Good fit or measure	Historical/field data; uncontrolled experiments; small sample; direct measurements
2	Well correlated but not measuring the same thing	Modelled/derived data; indirect measurements
1	Weak correlation but commonalities in measure	Educated guesses indirect approx. rule of thumb est.
0	Not correlated and not clearly related	Crude speculation

Table 4. Pedigree chart.

Source: Pedigree matrix for emission monitoring data [Available at: http://www.nusap.net/]

#### **Guideline requirements**

- Need to provide understanding of uncertainty through the process
- Uncertainty Assessment?
- Uncertainty levels appropriate for metrics and indicators

![](_page_17_Picture_11.jpeg)

**Sharing risk** Decom guidelines by industry body and consultants

- Operator input through questionnaire and commenting on drafts
- Regulator input on steering group

#### Positives

- Rounded view on environmental appraisal
- Agreed among all major stakeholders

#### Challenges

- Commerciality of result
- Complementary to regulator guidelines; fully aligned but not official

![](_page_18_Picture_10.jpeg)

![](_page_18_Picture_11.jpeg)

## **Guidelines: Thresholds and indicators**

Guidelines (generic) for a risk-based approach to the development and assessment of environmental thresholds and indicators

![](_page_19_Picture_2.jpeg)

## **Guidelines: Thresholds and indicators**

- Management thresholds are naturally attractive to decision-makers as they can offer a clear-cut, evidence-based process to decide whether damage will / will not occur to an ecosystem or area.
- Management thresholds can also offer false security if they are arbitrary, have no valid biological basis, or not designed for the management regime.

![](_page_20_Picture_3.jpeg)

## **Guidelines: Thresholds and indicators**

1. Before initiation of EA

UK oil and gas –

- operations that need EIA are noted in the Petroleum Act
- operations expecting greater than 500 tonnes of oil per day or more than 500,000 m3 of gas per day

How would this apply to deep sea mining ...?

- EIA needed for testing of mining equipment, test-mining operations and commercial-scale mining
- material changes to a project? How are these defined?
  - timescale
  - footprint
  - severity of impacts
  - change to methods
- Will smaller-scale changes require an EIA Direction / EIA addendum?

![](_page_21_Picture_13.jpeg)

![](_page_22_Figure_0.jpeg)

## Conclusions

![](_page_23_Picture_1.jpeg)

## **Risk-based regulation: conclusions from UK experience**

- Risk is found throughout assessment and regulation
- Risk can be lowered through
  - Narrowing down options as evidence becomes available / plans become firmer
  - Adaptive management to validate assumptions and provide a means of
  - using robust evidence base spatially and temporally
  - using agreed definitions
  - stakeholder input
  - transparency of process
  - responsiveness of management regime

## **Risk-based regulation: conclusions from UK experience**

For deep-sea mining, major issues to consider include

- Responsiveness of management regime
  - Adaptive management
  - Starting point for measuring serious harm / adverse effect
  - Transparency of process
- Dealing with uncertainty
  - Need for baseline validation
  - Time series availability?
  - Evidence *required* for consent

![](_page_25_Picture_10.jpeg)