## TEMPLATE FOR SUBMISSION OF TEXTUAL PROPOSALS DURING THE 28<sup>TH</sup> SESSION: COUNCIL - PART I

Please fill out one form for each textual proposal which your delegation(s) wish(es) to amend, add or delete and send to council@isa.org.jm.

- 1. Name of Working Group: IWG Environment
- 2. Name(s) of Delegation(s) making the proposal: Australia
- 3. Please indicate the relevant provision to which the textual proposal refers.
  - DR 44 proposed amendments are in green text
- 4. Kindly provide the proposed amendments to the regulation or standard or guideline in the text box below, using the "track changes" function in Microsoft Word. Please only reproduce the parts of the text that are being amended or deleted.

## **Regulation 44**

## **General obligations**

- The Authority, sponsoring States, the Enterprise, Contractors and States competent for vessels, installations, structures and other devices flying their flag or of their registry or operating under their authority shall each, within their respective competence as necessary plan, adopt, implement and modify measures necessary for ensuring effective protection of the Marine Environment, including but not limited to rare or fragile ecosystems, all forms of marine life, as well as the habitat of depleted, threatened or endangered species, from harmful effects directly or indirectly resulting from Exploitation in the Area, including from shipboard dewatering immediately above a mine site of minerals derived from that mine site processing and from transportation of minerals to inland facilities, which may include inland processing in accordance with the Rules of the Authority, Standards and taking into account Guidelines referred to in regulation 45 and the applicable Regional Environmental Management Plan. To this end:
- (a) In adopting and keeping under periodic review rules, regulations and procedures, as well as the Standards and Guidelines in accordance with the Convention and the Agreement, the Authority shall:
- (i) Apply the precautionary <u>approach principle</u> as reflected in principle 15 of the Rio Declaration on Environment and Development

and the ecosystem-based management approach to the assessment and \_-management and prevention of risk of harm to the Marine Environment from Exploitation in the Area;

- (ii) Apply the Best Available Techniques and Best Environmental Practices taking into account the applicable guideline,
- (iii) Integrate Best Available Scientific Evidence in decision-making, including all risk assessments and management undertaken in connection with environmental assessments, <a href="acknowledging knowledge gaps">acknowledging knowledge gaps</a>, and the management and response measures taken under or in accordance with Best Environmental Practices; and
- (iv) Ensure accountability and transparency in the assessment, evaluation and management of Environmental Effects and risks from Exploitation in the Area, including through Stakeholder participation and the timely prompt public release of relevant environmental data and information at regular intervals and in an accessible format through the Authority's website.
- (iv)bis Take into account the approach that the polluter should in principle bear the cost of pollution, endeavour to promote practices whereby those engaged in exploitation activities bear the cost of meeting the pollution prevention and control requirements for the authorized activities, having due regard to the public interest.
- (v) Include Underwater Cultural Heritage in the definition of marine environment. Thus, references to the environment include references to these objects which hereinafter are referred to as underwater cultural heritage (UCH) which has been more precisely defined in the UNESCO 2001 Convention on the Protection of the Underwater Cultural Heritage.
- (vi)ter Ensure to minimize the direct or indirect In implementing the regulations, act so as not to transfer directly or indirectly damage or the likelihood of damage from one part of the environment to another or transform one type of pollution into another as stated in article 195 of the Convention. This -especially related to avoiding toxic, persistent and bio accumulative substances.
- (b) In taking all necessary measures to ensure that the Contractor carries out Exploitation in the Area in conformity with the terms of its contract and its obligations under the—Rules of the Authority related to the effective protection for the Marine Environment from harmful effects, the Sponsoring State shall, ast a minimum, assist the Authority to implement, mutatis mutandis, the measures set out under paragraph (a)(i) to (ivi) above.
- (c) In taking all the necessary measures to prevent, reduce and control pollution\_and other hazards to the Marine Environment ,including the coastline, and of interference with the ecological balance ecosystem structure, function and resilience of the Marine Environment including the coastline, and of interference with the ecological balance of the Marine Environment arising from its

Exploitation in the Area, the Enterprise and Contractors shall implement, mutatis mutandis, the measures set out under paragraph (a)(i) to (iii) above and demonstrate accountability and transparency in the assessment, evaluation and management of Environmental Effects and risks from Exploitation , including through Stakeholder participation and the timely public release of environmental data and information on their respective activities at regular intervals and in an accessible format. In so doing, the Enterprise and Contractors shall apply a priority order to avoid, minimize, mitigate, and remediate, restore, shall Mitigate harm to the Marine environment mitigate, and remediaterestore, and as a last resort offset where agreed, harm to the Mmarine Eenvironment, as well as and adapt the necessary measures to newly emerged-obtained information and data.

## 5. Please indicate the rationale for the proposal. [150-word limit]

With respect to the chapeau of sub paragraph (1):

- Australia considers that it is not appropriate to describe Contractors as having a
  competence. As a result, Australia proposes's preference would be to removinge the
  phrase 'within their respective competence' and replacinge it with 'as necessary'.
- Australia also considers that the reference to 'rare or fragile ecosystems' should be
  preceded by the phrase 'including but not limited to'\_. In our view, it is preferable to
  indicate that this is not an exhaustive list

With respect to sub paragraph (1)(a)(v):

- Australia supports the inclusion of protection of Underwater Cultural Heritage, including intangible cultural heritage, in the Regulations. However, we consider the protection of underwater cultural heritage should be the subject of separate provisions, rather than being considered as part of the 'marine environment' for the purposes of the Regulations. We are participating in the intersessional work being led by the Federated States of Micronesia to resolve issues around how best to include cultural heritage protections in the Regulations.
- Australia supports provisions for the proposition that protection of 'Underwater Cultural Heritage', including intangible cultural heritage, should be in the Regulations. However, we consider underwater cultural heritage should not be included in the definition of the marine environment but dealt with in separate provisions. We are participating in the intersessional work being led by the Federated States of Micronesia to resolve issues around how best to include cultural heritage protections in the Regulations. However, we consider that elaboration of this is best retained in the Schedule, where 'marine environment' is a defined term. As a result, we suggest deletion from Regulation 44 with a view to moving to it to the schedule.

With respect to sub paragraph (1)(c):

- Australia considers that the first sentence should refer to 'all the' necessary measures, for consistency with the current drafting of DR49.
- Australia considers the word 'its' should come before 'Exploitation', so that the
  phrase reads 'arising from its Exploitation in the Area'. This is also for consistency
  with DR49.
- Australia considers that the phrase 'as a last resort' should not be deleted, as it is
  preferable to maintain the emphasis that 'offset' is a last resort option in this
  context. In this regard, and for clarity of drafting, Australia considers the last
  sentence should read 'avoid, minimise, mitigate, remediate, restore and, as a last
  resort, offset harm to the marine environment, and adapt the necessary measures to
  newly obtained information and data'.
- Australia considers that the cross-references to paragraph (a) creates some confusion, as this attempts to impose requirements on the contractor that are currently framed as requirements for the Authority. Noting the suggestion for new Regulation 44(2)(bis) which replicates the requirements in paragraph (1)(a), we suggest the cross-reference be deleted.