

**TEMPLATE FOR SUBMISSION OF TEXTUAL PROPOSALS DURING THE 30TH SESSION:
COUNCIL - PART I**

Please fill out one form for each textual proposal which your delegation(s) wish(es) to amend, add or delete and send to council@isa.org.jm.

1. Name(s) of Delegation(s) making the proposal:

Norway

2. Please indicate the relevant provision to which the textual proposal refers.

DR 32

3. Kindly provide the proposed amendments to the regulation or standard or guideline in the text box below, using the “track changes” function in Microsoft Word. Please only reproduce the parts of the text that are being amended or deleted.

1. (a) The currency and adequacy of its Emergency Response and Contingency Plans based on the identification of potential Incidents and in accordance with Good Industry Practice, Best Available Techniques, Best Environmental Practices and the applicable Standards and Guidelines, as well as the implementation and monitoring results of the Environmental Management and Monitoring Plan, which shall be reviewed annually ~~and tested at least [quarterly]/[annually]~~[once a year]; and

(b) Such resources, training and procedures as are necessary for the prompt execution and implementation of the Emergency Response and Contingency Plans and any Emergency Orders issued by the Authority including on-vessel presence for rapid emergency response.

2. (a) Contractors to meet their requirements, inter alia under Regulation 53~~(paragraph 1)~~; and

~~3. ——— Following an Incident, [the Contractor [must]/[shall]] follow the steps set out in Regulation 33.] [a Contractor must submit a detailed report on whether the Emergency Response and Contingency Plan was adequate and to what extent it was complied with, including, among other aspects, expenses incurred, responsibilities and updating of the plan if necessary.]~~

4. Please indicate the rationale for the proposal. [150-word limit]

- In paragraph 1 a and b, it should say “plan” not “plans”, because there is only one emergency response and contingency plan.
- Also in para 1 a, we are not sure what is meant by the requirement of yearly testing of the plan. We would appreciate some clarity on what constitutes “testing”. For now, we suggest deletion, as training and procedures necessary to operationalize the plan are regulated in letter b.
- The reference in 2 a should be to this regulation no. 1. As explained during the meeting, this was moved from regulation 53.
- It’s our understanding that paragraph 3 is duly covered by regulation 33 and can be deleted.